

5.10 Resource Commitments

Various energy and material resources would be committed in the implementation of any of the alternatives. Estimates of major resources committed are summarized by alternative in Table 5.25. Alternative Groups D₁, D₂, and D₃ are referred to collectively as Alternative Group D (and similarly for Alternative Groups E₁, E₂, and E₃). The resource commitments for Alternative Group D and Alternative Group E are considered collectively because the activities under each are essentially the same—only the locations of the activities change. The location changes do not significantly alter the resource commitments.

The ILAW resources are broken out separately at the bottom of Table 5.25 because the resource requirements to handle this one waste category can be much greater than those of the other categories. Resource estimates for management of melters are included with other HSW waste streams. The ILAW vault resource commitments would be added to the No Action Alternative values; the ILAW multiple trench commitments would be added to Alternative Group B values; and the ILAW single trench commitments would be added to Alternative Groups A, C, D, and E values. Resource commitments of the alternative groups with the appropriate ILAW actions included are presented in Table 5.26.

Resource requirements for a number of materials are larger for Alternative Group B than for Alternative Groups A, C, D, and E because of the less efficient trench design. Some activities under the No Action Alternative require more resources than the action alternatives. Under the No Action Alternative, ILAW is disposed of in vaults, which increases the diesel, borrow (gravel, sand, basalt), steel, concrete, and water needs. In addition, 66 CWC waste storage buildings would be constructed, which increases the steel and concrete needs compared to those for the other alternative groups.

When considering the resource commitments by inventory volume within an alternative group, the Hanford Only volume generally requires the least resources; the Upper Bound volume requires the most. In many cases, the Hanford Only and Lower Bound volume resource commitments are not significantly different.

The resource commitments presented in Table 5.25 for actions excluding ILAW would not be expected to impact available supplies or activities requiring these same resources. The peak electrical power required for construction of operations associated with management of HSW for any of the alternative groups would not be expected to impact Hanford's existing capacity. The commitment of resources for ILAW actions would not cause any impacts beyond those described in the Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS (DOE 1999) and the Hanford Waste Management Operations EIS (ERDA 1975).

Table 5.25. Resource Commitment Summary by Alternative Group and for ILAW^(a)

| Units | Total Electric | Diesel | Gasoline | Propane | Asphalt ^(b) | Gravel/ Sand | Silt/Loam | Basalt | Bentonite Clay | Steel | Concrete | Total Water | Lead | Land |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|------|------|
| | GWhr | m ³ | m ³ | t | 1000 m ³ | 1000 m ³ | 1000 m ³ | 1000 m ³ | t | t | 1000 m ³ | 1000 m ³ | t | ha |
| Alternative Group A (without ILAW) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 735 | 12,800 | 260 | 12,700 | 362 | 443 | 738 | 443 | 13,900 | 720 | 8.0 | 488 | 45 | 143 |
| Lower Bound | 735 | 12,800 | 260 | 12,700 | 364 | 446 | 743 | 446 | 13,900 | 870 | 9.6 | 488 | 45 | 144 |
| Upper Bound | 743 | 13,600 | 270 | 19,300 | 386 | 472 | 786 | 472 | 18,200 | 1280 | 14 | 492 | 45 | 152 |
| Alternative Group B (without ILAW) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 5860 | 16,500 | 340 | 23,500 | 408 | 490 | 816 | 490 | 33,600 | 800 | 9.9 | 484 | 45 | 161 |
| Lower Bound | 5860 | 16,500 | 340 | 23,500 | 414 | 497 | 829 | 497 | 33,600 | 950 | 12 | 485 | 45 | 163 |
| Upper Bound | 587 | 20,500 | 430 | 38,300 | 468 | 561 | 935 | 561 | 57,600 | 1380 | 16 | 487 | 45 | 184 |
| Alternative Group C (without ILAW) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 735 | 12,800 | 260 | 12,700 | 362 | 443 | 738 | 443 | 13,900 | 720 | 8.0 | 488 | 45 | 143 |
| Lower Bound | 735 | 12,800 | 260 | 12,700 | 364 | 446 | 743 | 446 | 13,900 | 870 | 9.6 | 488 | 45 | 144 |
| Upper Bound | 743 | 13,600 | 270 | 19,300 | 386 | 472 | 786 | 472 | 18,200 | 1280 | 14 | 492 | 45 | 152 |
| Alternative Group D (without ILAW) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 735 | 12,800 | 260 | 18,800 | 361 | 441 | 736 | 441 | 13,900 | 710 | 8.0 | 488 | 45 | 142 |
| Lower Bound | 735 | 12,800 | 260 | 20,300 | 361 | 441 | 736 | 441 | 13,900 | 870 | 9.9 | 488 | 45 | 142 |
| Upper Bound | 743 | 13,600 | 270 | 27,800 | 373 | 457 | 761 | 457 | 18,200 | 1280 | 14 | 492 | 45 | 147 |
| Alternative Group E (without ILAW) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 735 | 12,800 | 260 | 18,800 | 361 | 441 | 736 | 441 | 13,900 | 710 | 8.0 | 488 | 45 | 142 |
| Lower Bound | 735 | 12,800 | 260 | 20,300 | 361 | 441 | 736 | 441 | 13,900 | 870 | 9.9 | 488 | 45 | 142 |
| Upper Bound | 743 | 13,600 | 270 | 27,800 | 373 | 457 | 761 | 457 | 18,200 | 1280 | 14 | 492 | 45 | 147 |
| No Action Alternative (without ILAW) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 685 | 5,200 | 48 | 3,560 | 15.2 | 10 | 30 | 8.1 | 0 | 25,900 | 140 | 29.6 | 45 | 148 |
| Lower Bound | 685 | 5,300 | 50 | 3,560 | 15.2 | 10 | 30 | 8.1 | 0 | 26,000 | 142 | 29.6 | 45 | 149 |
| ILAW | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vault | N/A | 183,400 | N/A | 0 | 20 | 2603 ^(c) | -- | -- | -- | 33,170 | 282 | 487 | 0 | 10 |
| Multiple trench | N/A | 120,100 | N/A | 0 | 33 | 770 ^(c) | -- | -- | -- | 1,000 | 0.31 | 789 | 0 | 26 |
| Single trench | N/A | 53,100 | N/A | 0 | 10 | 550 ^(c) | -- | -- | -- | 1,000 | 0 | 308 | 0 | 8 |
| (a) Conversion factors: 1 m ³ ≈ 260 gal; 1 m ³ ≈ 1.3 yd ³ ; and 1 t (metric ton) ≈ 1.1 ton. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) A fully prepared product including its components. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total fill (sand, gravel, silt, and rip rap). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 5.26. Resource Commitment Summary by Alternative Group with ILAW Resources Included^(a)

| Units | Diesel | Asphalt | Gravel/Sand, Silt/Loam, Basalt | Steel | Concrete | Total Water |
|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | m ³ | 1000 m ³ | 1000 m ³ | t | 1000 m ³ | 1000 m ³ |
| Alternative Group A | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 132,900 | 392 | 2394 | 1720 | 8.3 | 1280 |
| Lower Bound | 132,900 | 394 | 2405 | 1870 | 9.9 | 1280 |
| Upper Bound | 133,700 | 416 | 2500 | 2280 | 14 | 1280 |
| Alternative Group B | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 136,600 | 438 | 2552 | 1800 | 10 | 1270 |
| Lower Bound | 136,700 | 444 | 2593 | 1950 | 12 | 1270 |
| Upper Bound | 140,600 | 498 | 2827 | 2380 | 16 | 1280 |
| Alternative Group C | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 65,900 | 372 | 2174 | 1720 | 8.0 | 798 |
| Lower Bound | 65,900 | 374 | 2185 | 1870 | 9.6 | 798 |
| Upper Bound | 66,700 | 396 | 2280 | 2280 | 14 | 802 |
| Alternative Group D | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 65,900 | 371 | 2174 | 1710 | 8.0 | 798 |
| Lower Bound | 65,900 | 371 | 2204 | 1870 | 9.9 | 798 |
| Upper Bound | 66,700 | 383 | 2331 | 2280 | 14 | 802 |
| Alternative Group E | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 65,900 | 371 | 2174 | 1710 | 8.0 | 798 |
| Lower Bound | 65,900 | 371 | 2185 | 1870 | 9.9 | 798 |
| Upper Bound | 66,700 | 383 | 2280 | 2280 | 14 | 802 |
| No Action Alternative | | | | | | |
| Hanford Only | 188,600 | 35.2 | 2648 | 59,100 | 420 | 520 |
| Lower Bound | 188,700 | 35.2 | 2648 | 59,200 | 422 | 520 |
| (a) Conversion factors: 1 m ³ ≈ 260 gal; 1 m ³ ≈ 1.3 yd ³ ; and 1 t (metric ton) ≈ 1.1 ton. | | | | | | |